
Survey: Practical Nursing – Course Evaluation Survey

Report: Frequency Text

Date: 303 Pharmacology I, 302 Surgical Nursing II, 301 Medical Nursing II
- May 2007
403 Pharmacology II, 402 Surgical Nursing III, 401 Medical Nursing III
- August 2007

Respondent Selection:

Respondent in Batches:

0607PNDAY: 251 (total of all 6 courses)

No subgroups selected.

Item: 1

Text: 1. The instructor was well prepared for lecture or discussion.

Subgroup: N/A (251 respondents)

Response	Weight	Batch frequency	Batch %	Subgroup frequency	Subgroup %
Strongly Agree	1	82	32.7%	82	32.7%
Agree	2	111	44.2%	111	44.2%
Agree Somewhat	3	49	19.5%	49	19.5%
Disagree	4	7	2.8%	7	2.8%
Strongly Disagree	5	2	0.8%	2	0.8%
		<hr/> 251		<hr/> 251	

Subgroup	
Missing:	0
Mean:	1.95
Std Dev:	0.84
Median:	2.00

Item: 3

Text: 2. The instructor was organized for lecture or discussion.

Subgroup: N/A (251 respondents)

Response	Weight	Batch frequency	Batch %	Subgroup frequency	Subgroup %
Strongly Agree	1	81	32.5%	81	32.5%
Agree	2	109	43.8%	109	43.8%
Agree Somewhat	3	49	19.7%	49	19.7%
Disagree	4	7	2.8%	7	2.8%
Strongly Disagree	5	3	1.2%	3	1.2%
		<hr/> 249		<hr/> 249	

Subgroup	
Missing:	2
Mean:	1.96
Std Dev:	0.86
Median:	2.00

Item: 5

Text: 3. The instructor kept lectures/class discussions focused on the subject of the course.

Subgroup: N/A (251 respondents)

Response	Weight	Batch frequency	Batch %	Subgroup frequency	Subgroup %
Strongly Agree	1	93	37.2%	93	37.2%
Agree	2	113	45.2%	113	45.2%
Agree Somewhat	3	41	16.4%	41	16.4%
Disagree	4	3	1.2%	3	1.2%
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
		<u>250</u>		<u>250</u>	

Subgroup	
Missing:	1
Mean:	1.82
Std Dev:	0.74
Median:	2.00

Item: 7

Text: 4. The instructor used clear, relevant examples.

Subgroup: N/A (251 respondents)

Response	Weight	Batch frequency	Batch %	Subgroup frequency	Subgroup %
Strongly Agree	1	90	36.0%	90	36.0%
Agree	2	125	50.0%	125	50.0%
Agree Somewhat	3	30	12.0%	30	12.0%
Disagree	4	5	2.0%	5	2.0%
Strongly Disagree	5	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
		<u>250</u>		<u>250</u>	

Subgroup	
Missing:	1
Mean:	1.80
Std Dev:	0.72
Median:	2.00

Item: 9

Text: 5. The instructor used speech and lecture style effectively.

Subgroup: N/A (251 respondents)

Response	Weight	Batch frequency	Batch %	Subgroup frequency	Subgroup %
Strongly Agree	1	86	34.5%	86	34.5%
Agree	2	121	48.6%	121	48.6%
Agree Somewhat	3	34	13.7%	34	13.7%
Disagree	4	7	2.8%	7	2.8%
Strongly Disagree	5	1	0.4%	1	0.4%
		<hr/> 249		<hr/> 249	

Subgroup	
Missing:	2
Mean:	1.86
Std Dev:	0.78
Median:	2.00

Item: 11

Text: 6. The instructor demonstrated enthusiasm for teaching the course.

Subgroup: N/A (251 respondents)

Response	Weight	Batch frequency	Batch %	Subgroup frequency	Subgroup %
Strongly Agree	1	83	33.3%	83	33.3%
Agree	2	113	45.4%	113	45.4%
Agree Somewhat	3	43	17.3%	43	17.3%
Disagree	4	7	2.8%	7	2.8%
Strongly Disagree	5	3	1.2%	3	1.2%
		<hr/> 249		<hr/> 249	

Subgroup	
Missing:	2
Mean:	1.93
Std Dev:	0.85
Median:	2.00

Item: 13

Text: 7. The instructor gave adequate instructions concerning assignments.

Subgroup: N/A (251 respondents)

Response	Weight	Batch frequency	Batch %	Subgroup frequency	Subgroup %
Strongly Agree	1	78	31.3%	78	31.3%
Agree	2	108	43.4%	108	43.4%
Agree Somewhat	3	47	18.9%	47	18.9%
Disagree	4	15	6.0%	15	6.0%
Strongly Disagree	5	1	0.4%	1	0.4%
		<u>249</u>		<u>249</u>	

Subgroup	
Missing:	2
Mean:	2.01
Std Dev:	0.88
Median:	2.00

Item: 15

Text: 8. Assignments contributed to the learning process.

Subgroup: N/A (251 respondents)

Response	Weight	Batch frequency	Batch %	Subgroup frequency	Subgroup %
Strongly Agree	1	84	33.6%	84	33.6%
Agree	2	115	46.0%	115	46.0%
Agree Somewhat	3	40	16.0%	40	16.0%
Disagree	4	7	2.8%	7	2.8%
Strongly Disagree	5	4	1.6%	4	1.6%
		<u>250</u>		<u>250</u>	

Subgroup	
Missing:	1
Mean:	1.93
Std Dev:	0.87
Median:	2.00

Item: 17

Text: 9. The classroom environment was conducive to learning.

Subgroup: N/A (251 respondents)

Response	Weight	Batch frequency	Batch %	Subgroup frequency	Subgroup %
Strongly Agree	1	78	31.2%	78	31.2%
Agree	2	111	44.4%	111	44.4%
Agree Somewhat	3	46	18.4%	46	18.4%
Disagree	4	10	4.0%	10	4.0%
Strongly Disagree	5	5	2.0%	5	2.0%
		<u>250</u>		<u>250</u>	

Subgroup	
Missing:	1
Mean:	2.01
Std Dev:	0.92
Median:	2.00

Item: 19

Text: 10. The test items were relevant to the information presented.

Subgroup: N/A (251 respondents)

Response	Weight	Batch frequency	Batch %	Subgroup frequency	Subgroup %
Strongly Agree	1	81	32.5%	81	32.5%
Agree	2	113	45.4%	113	45.4%
Agree Somewhat	3	40	16.1%	40	16.1%
Disagree	4	13	5.2%	13	5.2%
Strongly Disagree	5	2	0.8%	2	0.8%
		<u>249</u>		<u>249</u>	

Subgroup	
Missing:	2
Mean:	1.96
Std Dev:	0.88
Median:	2.00

Item: 21

Text: 11. The textbooks utilized presented information at an appropriate level of understanding.

Subgroup: N/A (251 respondents)

Response	Weight	Batch frequency	Batch %	Subgroup frequency	Subgroup %
Strongly Agree	1	92	36.9%	92	36.9%
Agree	2	132	53.0%	132	53.0%
Agree Somewhat	3	19	7.6%	19	7.6%
Disagree	4	2	0.8%	2	0.8%
Strongly Disagree	5	4	1.6%	4	1.6%
		<u>249</u>		<u>249</u>	

Subgroup	
Missing:	2
Mean:	1.77
Std Dev:	0.76
Median:	2.00

Item: 23

Text: 12. Used a variety of learning activities to support lecture.

Subgroup: N/A (251 respondents)

Response	Weight	Batch frequency	Batch %	Subgroup frequency	Subgroup %
Strongly Agree	1	79	31.6%	79	31.6%
Agree	2	116	46.4%	116	46.4%
Agree Somewhat	3	45	18.0%	45	18.0%
Disagree	4	6	2.4%	6	2.4%
Strongly Disagree	5	4	1.6%	4	1.6%
		<u>250</u>		<u>250</u>	

Subgroup	
Missing:	1
Mean:	1.96
Std Dev:	0.86
Median:	2.00